

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****JUN 22 2007****II. REMARKS****1. The examiner rejected claims 1-6 under 35 USC §102(e).**

In the detailed action the examiner stated that claims 1-20 were rejected as being anticipated by Reddy et al (Reddy), Patent No. 6,941,349 B2. Applicant believes that the examiner is in error and meant to state that claims 1-6 were rejected over Reddy, Published Application US2002/0091753A1 and shall respond accordingly.

Applicant submits that Reddy does not anticipate claims 1-6 because Reddy does not perform a monitoring function in the same way that applicant's invention performs monitoring. Specifically, Reddy, uses a servlet engine (reference 64, para [0018]) to generate a web page. Commands may be transmitted to a management layer. The management layer monitors applications in the system through agents (reference 72, para [0019]). The agents are pre-existing and are in place when the request is made (the agents are pre-existing because they are included in the management layer, line 4, para. [0019]). Each agent monitors a specific application and the agent may be a JMX agent. The agents include one or more monitors (managed JAVA objects) that are used to detect events (para. [0019]). The monitors may generate messages to be communicated to the agent (para. [0020]). The messages are displayed on a web browser (see para. [0026])

While there are similarities between Reddy and applicant's claimed invention, applicant generates the parallel requests each time a request is made by a user without pre-existing agents and monitors. Applicant allows a user to request a web page for a report. A first servlet generates an "image tag" which does two things: first, it points to another servlet, and second, it provides information to perform a JMX query. Thus a request can be broken down by image tags and each query can run in parallel. In other words, applicants first servlet configures a plurality of image tags, each of which obtains a result to answer the user request, and each of which run in parallel. By generating the individual requests by the image tags, applicant eliminates the need for pre-existing agents in the system to perform monitoring. Stated another way, applicant's "image tags" are not disclosed by Reddy.

Therefore, since applicant's "image tags" eliminate the need to have pre-existing agents in place monitoring each component in the system as required by Reddy, applicant distinguishes over Reddy because Reddy does not disclose each element of applicant's invention exactly.

Respectfully submitted,

Rudolf O. Siegesmund

Rudolf O. Siegesmund

Registration No. 37,720

Gordon & Rees LLP

Suite 2800

2100 Ross Avenue

Dallas, Texas 75201

214-231-4703

214-461-4053 (fax)

rsiegesmund@gordonrees.com